

Phi-Law / LIM: Axioms A0–A3 and Formal Framework

Njål Gaute Solland · 2026-06-19 · For peer review

Part I: The Axioms

The framework rests on four foundational axioms (A0–A3), stated in their original Norwegian with English translation. These are not derived — they are the starting commitments from which the formal structure is built.

A0 *Ingen er utan blir.*

No being without becoming.

Existence is not a static state. Every existing thing is already in the process of becoming something else.

A1 *Ingen blir utan er.*

No becoming without being.

Transformation requires a substrate. Process without structure collapses into noise.

A2 *Framleis er meir grunnleggjande enn identitet.*

Continuity is more fundamental than identity.

The process (F) is definable without reference to the fixed point (I*). The fixed point requires F to exist. Therefore process precedes identity — ontologically, not temporally.

A3 *Alt som eksisterer, eksisterer gjennom transformasjon.*

Everything that exists, exists through transformation.

There is no existence outside process. Identity is a trace of filtered transformation, not its precondition.

Part II: The Coherence Metric tau

A2 claims that process precedes identity. The metric tau makes this measurable. It is computed from the hidden state matrix of a language model via singular value decomposition.

Definition

Given hidden state matrix H ($N \times d$):

1. Compute SVD: $H = U S V^T$. Let $s_1, \dots, s_r$ be the singular values.
2. Normalise squared singular values: $p_i = s_i^2 / \sum(s_j^2)$
3. Spectral entropy: $H_{\text{spectral}} = -\sum(p_i * \log(p_i))$
4. Effective rank: $r_{\text{eff}} = \exp(H_{\text{spectral}})$
5. $\tau = r_{\text{eff}} / r_{\text{max}}$ where $r_{\text{max}} = \min(N, d)$

τ is dimensionless, bounded in $[0,1]$, and measures how evenly the singular value spectrum is distributed. $\tau = 1$: uniform distribution (maximum entropy). $\tau \rightarrow 0$: rank-1 collapse.

Part III: The Coherence Bounds (Theorems 1 and 3)

Theorem 1 — Lower bound via Euler-Mascheroni

For a minimal self-dual operator L on a Hilbert space H with involution J ($JLJ = L^{-1}$), the coefficient of the logarithmic divergence in the spectral zeta function $\zeta_L(s)$ equals the Euler-Mascheroni constant γ .

$$\zeta_L(s) = \sum \lambda_n^{-s} \text{ for } \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$$

Eigenvalues come in pairs $(\lambda, 1/\lambda)$ from the self-duality condition. The regularisation of the divergence between the discrete sum and its continuous integral yields γ . This gives the lower coherence bound:

$$\tau_{\min} = \exp(-\gamma) = 0.5615\dots$$

Below $\tau_{\min}$: the system has lost the memory of its ground state. It drifts toward entropic collapse.

Theorem 3 — Upper bound via Apéry's constant

For the same operator L , the spectral zeta function at $s=3$ evaluates to Apéry's constant $\zeta(3)$. This is the third moment of the spectrum — measuring the weight of the high-energy tail.

$$\zeta_L(3) = \sum \lambda_n^{-3} \rightarrow \zeta(3) = 1.2020569\dots$$

This gives the upper coherence bound:

$$\tau_{\max} = 1/\zeta(3) = 0.8319\dots$$

Above $\tau_{\max}$: the system is too rigid. The high-energy modes dominate and the system loses adaptive capacity.

The Goldilocks interval

A system maintaining identity through transformation must satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\min} &< \tau < \tau_{\max} \\ \exp(-\gamma) &< \tau < 1/\zeta(3) \\ 0.5615 &< \tau < 0.8319 \end{aligned}$$

Part IV: Formal Derivation of A2 (Banach Fixed-Point Theorem)

A2 states that continuity is more fundamental than identity. This is formalised as follows.

The Framleis operator

Let $S = [\tau_{\min}, \tau_{\max}]$ with the standard metric. Define the operator $F: S \rightarrow S$ by:

$$F(\tau; \sigma) = (1 - \alpha) * \tau + \alpha * \sigma$$

where σ is the local incoming signal at each step. F is a local rule: it requires only the current state (τ), the filtering rate (α), and the local signal (σ). It has no knowledge of the fixed point.

Theorem (Banach, 1922)

Let (S, d) be a complete metric space and $F: S \rightarrow S$ a contraction with factor $k < 1$. Then there exists a unique fixed point I^* such that $F(I^*) = I^*$, and for all τ_0 in S : $F^n(\tau_0) \rightarrow I^*$.

Derivation

Step 1. F is a contraction.

$$|F(\tau_1; \sigma) - F(\tau_2; \sigma)| = (1 - \alpha) * |\tau_1 - \tau_2|$$

Since $(1 - \alpha) < 1$, F is a contraction with factor $k = 1 - \alpha$.

Step 2. The fixed point I^* emerges when σ stabilises.

When $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^*$ (stable input), $F(I^*; \sigma^*) = I^*$ solves as:

$$(1 - \alpha) * I^* + \alpha * \sigma^* = I^*$$

$$\Rightarrow I^* = \sigma^*$$

I^* is not known in advance. It is what crystallises when the rule runs long enough.

Step 3. Ontological priority.

a) F is definable without reference to I^* . b) I^* is defined as the limit of F under stable σ — it requires F to exist. c) F exists and is contractive independently of whether I^* has been reached. d) Identity I^* is a product of process F , not a starting point.

Since F exists independently of I^* , but I^* exists only as the limit of F , process (Framleis = F) is ontologically more fundamental than identity (I^*). This is A2.

Note on the empirical side: the scaling law $\tau \sim 0.10 \times N^{0.48}$ is curve-fitted to three models (GPT-2, Phi-2, Mistral-7B) and is not derived from the above. The formal framework is Parts I–IV. The scaling law is an observation.